

2003-47

>>> "C Wilson" <cwilson@wyan.org> 11/11/03 01:06PM >>>

To the Honorable Judges and Leadership of Michigan, The proposed rule change 2003-47 isn't about a over load court docket as some law firms feverishly wish to make it appear. The records of how many asbestos exposure trials are public, my guess fewer than (5) five in the last (4) years. our court dockets overloaded by any standard or as some law firm wish make it appear. What is upsetting is my, as well as others right to a trial by jury will be under attack. If you were to pass this proposed rule it would violate our federal and state constitution, which allows and affords others and me our right to a trial by jury. This proposal 2003-47 is about denial of a given right to due process; it's about denial of any company to be responsible or liable for their lethal products; and finally their GREED, by law firms wanting more money while, exposed victims lose their rights and entitlements. Our court system is strict enough now, by closing exposed victims, which only cheapens the victims settlement, again, denial.

Our state legislators write our laws our Judges are to enforce these laws and most law firms argue the laws. This works. Again for the victims of exposure we want our given rights. Reject proposal 2003-47, force these companies and their law firms to be responsible and accountable and, the only way is to allow these victims a given right a trial by jury. enclosing i have a important question, these law firms that wish to deprive exposed victims their rights; do they have any prior connection with the Judges that are to hear the proposal 2003-47? If any, they should not be allowed to hear or make a ruling on this proposal it would show the appearance of improprieties.

Cordially,

Larry F. JANES
RETIRED UNITED STEEL WORKER
LOCAL UNION 2659